Arithmetic Geometry and Stacky Curves

Andrew J. Kobin

ajkobin@emory.edu

Clayton State Mathematics Seminar

October 25, 2023

EMORY
UNIVERSITY

Introduction

Believe women.

Believe your colleagues.

Stop the cruelty.

Generalized Fermat Equations

Motivation: Find all integer solutions (x, y, z) to the generalized Fermat equation

$$Ax^p + By^q = Cz^r$$

for $A, B, C \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $p, q, r \geq 2$.

Generalized Fermat Equations

Motivation: Find integer solutions to $Ax^p + By^q = Cz^r$.

Example ($(A, B, C) = (1, 1, 1), (p, q, r) = (2, 2, 2)$)

Famously, there are infinitely many integer solutions to $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$, with primitive ($\gcd(x, y, z) = 1$) solutions parametrized by

$$(x, y, z) = \left(\frac{s^2 - t^2}{2}, st, \frac{s^2 + t^2}{2}\right) \quad \text{for odd, coprime } s > t \geq 1.$$

Generalized Fermat Equations

Motivation: Find integer solutions to $Ax^p + By^q = Cz^r$.

Example $((A, B, C) = (1, 1, 1), (p, q, r) = (2, 2, 2))$

Famously, there are infinitely many integer solutions to $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$, with primitive ($\gcd(x, y, z) = 1$) solutions parametrized by

$$(x, y, z) = \left(\frac{s^2 - t^2}{2}, st, \frac{s^2 + t^2}{2}\right) \quad \text{for odd, coprime } s > t \geq 1.$$

Generalized Fermat Equations

Motivation: Find integer solutions to $Ax^p + By^q = Cz^r$.

Example ($(A, B, C) = (1, 1, 1), (p, q, r) = (2, 2, 2)$)

Famously, there are infinitely many integer solutions to $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$, with primitive ($\gcd(x, y, z) = 1$) solutions parametrized by

$$(x, y, z) = \left(\frac{s^2 - t^2}{2}, st, \frac{s^2 + t^2}{2}\right) \quad \text{for odd, coprime } s > t \geq 1.$$



Generalized Fermat Equations

Motivation: Find integer solutions to $Ax^p + By^q = Cz^r$.

Example $((A, B, C) = (1, 1, 1), (p, q, r) = (n, n, n))$

Also famously, there are *no* integer solutions to $x^n + y^n = z^n$ for $n > 2$.

Generalized Fermat Equations

Motivation: Find integer solutions to $Ax^p + By^q = Cz^r$.

Example ($(A, B, C) = (1, 1, 1), (p, q, r) = (n, n, n)$)

Also famously, there are *no* integer solutions to $x^n + y^n = z^n$ for $n > 2$. Assume n is prime. If (x_0, y_0, z_0) were such a solution, it would determine an elliptic curve



Ribet showed E is not modular. However, Wiles showed all such elliptic curves are modular, a contradiction.

Generalized Fermat Equations

Takeaway: Integer solutions to $Ax^p + By^q = Cz^r$ can be studied using geometry.

Generalized Fermat Equations

Here are some more known cases of $Ax^p + By^q = Cz^r$.

- (Beukers, Darmon–Granville) Let $\chi = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} - 1$. The equation $x^p + y^q = z^r$ has infinitely many primitive solutions when $\chi > 0$ and finitely many when $\chi < 0$.

Generalized Fermat Equations

Here are some more known cases of $Ax^p + By^q = Cz^r$.

- (Beukers, Darmon–Granville) Let $\chi = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} - 1$. The equation $x^p + y^q = z^r$ has infinitely many primitive solutions when $\chi > 0$ and finitely many when $\chi < 0$.
- (Mordell, Zagier, Edwards) When $\chi > 0$, the primitive solutions to $x^p + y^q = z^r$ may always be parametrized explicitly (as in the $(2, 2, 2)$ case).
- (Fermat, Euler, et al.) The case $\chi = 0$ only occurs for $(2, 3, 6), (4, 4, 2), (3, 3, 3)$ and permutations of these. In each case, descent proves there are finitely many primitive solutions.
- $(2, 3, 7)$ was solved by Poonen–Schaeffer–Stoll (2007).
- $(2, 3, 8), (2, 3, 9)$ were solved by Bruin (1999, 2004).
- etc.

Generalized Fermat Equations

Question: How do we count solutions to such equations?

Generalized Fermat Equations

Question: How do we count solutions to such equations?

One strategy is to form the surface of (primitive, nontrivial) solutions in 3-dimensional space over $\mathbb{Z}$:

$$S = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \mid Ax^p + By^q = Cz^r, \text{ nontrivial, primitive}\} \subseteq \mathbb{A}^3_{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Expert version:

$$S = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[x, y, z]/(Ax^p + By^q - Cz^r)) \smallsetminus \{x = y = z = 0\}$$

Generalized Fermat Equations

$$S = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \mid Ax^p + By^q = Cz^r, \text{ nontrivial, primitive}\}$$

Let G be the group of symmetries of S. $(G = \mathbb{G}_m \cdot (\mu_p \times \mu_q \times \mu_r))$

Generalized Fermat Equations

$$S = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \mid Ax^p + By^q = Cz^r, \text{ nontrivial, primitive}\}$$

Let G be the group of symmetries of S. $(G = \mathbb{G}_m \cdot (\mu_p \times \mu_q \times \mu_r))$

We can form the curve $X = S/G$ whose points are exactly the equivalence classes of solutions:

$$X(\mathbb{Z}) = \{x, y, z \in R \mid Ax^p + By^q = Cz^r, \text{ nontriv., prim.}\}/\sim$$
where $g \cdot (x, y, z) \sim (x, y, z)$.

Upside: these are easier to count than $S(\mathbb{Z})$.
Downside: the geometry of X is bad!

Generalized Fermat Equations

$S = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \mid Ax^p + By^q = Cz^r, \text{ nontrivial, primitive}\}$

Let G be the group of symmetries of S. $(G = \mathbb{G}_m \cdot (\mu_p \times \mu_q \times \mu_r))$

We can form the **stacky** curve $\mathcal{X} = [S/G]$ whose points **remember the symmetries of each solution**:

$\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{Z})$: objects: nontriv., prim. solutions to $Ax^p + By^q = Cz^r$

morphisms: $(x, y, z) \xrightarrow{g} g \cdot (x, y, z)$.

Upside: these are easier to count than $S(\mathbb{Z})$.
Downside: **none - stacks are awesome!**

Stacks

Rather than give a technical definition of a stack, here's a meme:



Stacks

Example

For a group G acting on a space Y, we can form the quotient space Y/G whose points are the equivalence classes of points under G:



Stacks

Example

For a group G acting on a space Y, we can form the **quotient stack** $[Y/G]$ whose points are the **groupoid of G-orbits**:



Special case: the classifying stack $[*/G] = BG$:



Stacks

Example

For the parabola $X : y^2 = x$, groupoids remember automorphisms like $(x, y) \leftrightarrow (x, -y)$



Here, each downstairs "point" is obtained by collapsing upstairs points together and identifying morphisms.

Stacky Curves

Here's an informal definition of a stacky curve:

A stacky curve $\mathcal{X}$ consists of an ordinary curve X, together with a finite number of marked points $P_1, \ldots, P_n$, each of which is decorated with a number $e_i =$ order of the group of symmetries of P_i.



Stacky Curves

Here's a cartoon of a stacky curve with coarse space $\mathbb{P}^1$:



Stacky Curves

Here's a cartoon of our stacky curve $[S/G]$, where $S =$ primitive integer solutions to $Ax^p + By^q = Cz^r$:



Generalized Fermat Equations, Revisited

To find solutions to $Ax^p + By^q = Cz^r$, we can exploit the geometry of $\mathcal{X} = [S/G]$:

Generalized Fermat Equations, Revisited

To find solutions to $Ax^p + By^q = Cz^r$, we can exploit the geometry of $\mathcal{X} = [S/G]$:

(1) Find a nice map $C_0 \to \mathcal{X}$ from a curve C_0 whose points are easy to find (e.g. a conic).

Generalized Fermat Equations, Revisited

To find solutions to $Ax^p + By^q = Cz^r$, we can exploit the geometry of $\mathcal{X} = [S/G]$:



(1) Find a nice map $C_0 \to \mathcal{X}$ from a curve C_0 whose points are easy to find (e.g. a conic).

(2) Compute all twists of C_0 and their points.

Generalized Fermat Equations, Revisited

To find solutions to $Ax^p + By^q = Cz^r$, we can exploit the geometry of $\mathcal{X} = [S/G]$:



(1) Find a nice map $C_0 \to \mathcal{X}$ from a curve C_0 whose points are easy to find (e.g. a conic).

(2) Compute all twists of C_0 and their points.

(3) Use *descent* to identify points on $\mathcal{X}$.

Generalized Fermat Equations, Revisited

Example

For $\mathcal{X} : x^2 + y^2 = z^2$, there is an étale map

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathbb{P}^1 \\ \downarrow \\ \mathcal{X} \end{array}$$

and $\mathbb{P}^1$ has infinitely many points which descend, so there are infinitely many primitive Pythagorean triples.

Generalized Fermat Equations, Revisited

Example (Poonen–Schaeffer–Stoll)

For $\mathcal{X} : x^2 + y^3 = z^7$, there is an étale map



where C is the Klein quartic, defined by $x^3y + y^3 + x = 0$. Descending points from C and its 10 twists gives 16 primitive solutions:

$$(\pm 1, -1, 0), \quad (\pm 1, 0, 1), \quad (0, \pm 1, \pm 1), \quad (\pm 3, -2, 1),$$
$$(\pm 71, -17, 2), \quad (\pm 2213459, 1414, 65), \quad (\pm 15312283, 9262, 113),$$
$$(\pm 21063928, -76271, 17).$$

Local-Global Principle for Algebraic Curves

The classic local-global principle for an algebraic curve X asks if $X(\mathbb{Q}) \neq \varnothing$ is equivalent to $X(\mathbb{Q}_p) \neq \varnothing$ for all completions $\mathbb{Q}_p$, $p \leq \infty$.

Local-Global Principle for Algebraic Curves

The classic local-global principle for an algebraic curve X asks if $X(\mathbb{Q}) \neq \varnothing$ is equivalent to $X(\mathbb{Q}_p) \neq \varnothing$ for all completions $\mathbb{Q}_p$, $p \leq \infty$.

Let $g = g(X)$ be the genus of X. It is known that:

- (Hasse–Minkowski) If $g = 0$, the LGP holds for X.
- There are counterexamples to the LGP for all $g > 0$.
  For example, $X : 2y^2 = 1 - 17x^4$.



genus 0 genus 1 genus 2

Local-Global Principle for Stacky Curves

For a stacky curve $\mathcal{X}$, we pose the *local-global principle for integral points*:

is $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{Z}) \neq \varnothing$ equivalent to $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \neq \varnothing$ for all completions $\mathbb{Z}_p$?

Local-Global Principle for Stacky Curves

For a stacky curve $\mathcal{X}$, we pose the *local-global principle for integral points*:

is $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{Z}) \neq \varnothing$ equivalent to $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \neq \varnothing$ for all completions $\mathbb{Z}_p$?

This time, the genus $g = g(\mathcal{X})$ can be *rational*:

$$g(\mathcal{X}) = g(X) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{e_i - 1}{e_i}$$

where X is the coarse space and $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ are the orders of the automorphisms groups at the finite number of stacky points.

When $\mathcal{X}$ is a *wild* stacky curve, I proved a more general formula for $g(\mathcal{X})$.

Local-Global Principle for Stacky Curves

Example

Our cartoon from before is a stacky curve with genus $g = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{15}{16} + \frac{4}{5} + \frac{2}{3} + \frac{59}{60}\right) = \frac{271}{160}$.



Example

Our stacky curve $[S/G]$, where $S =$ primitive integer solutions to $Ax^p + By^q = Cz^r$, has genus $g = \frac{1}{2}\left(3 - \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r}\right)$.



For example, the $(2, 3, 7)$ curve has genus $g = \frac{85}{84}$.

Local-Global Principle for Stacky Curves

For $\mathcal{X} = [S/G]$ where $S : Ax^p + By^q = Cz^r$, $g = \frac{1}{2}\left(3 - \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r}\right)$.

Theorem (Bhargava–Poonen)

1. *If $g < \frac{1}{2}$, the LGP holds.*
2. *There are counterexamples to the LGP when $g = \frac{1}{2}$.*

Theorem (Darmon–Granville)

In the $(2, 2, n)$ case, with $g = \frac{n-1}{n}$, there are counterexamples to the LGP.

Joint work with Duque-Rosero, Keyes, Roy, Sankar, Wang (in progress): a complete solution in the $(2, 2, n)$ case.

Another Example of a Stacky Curve

Here's another important stacky curve:



Fact: $\mathcal{X}(1) \cong \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$, the compactified moduli stack of elliptic curves.

Another Example of a Stacky Curve

Here's another important stacky curve:



Fact: $\mathcal{X}(1) \cong \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$, the compactified moduli stack of elliptic curves.

Another Example of a Stacky Curve

Here's another important stacky curve:



Fact: $\mathcal{X}(1) \cong \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$, the compactified moduli stack of elliptic curves.

Fact 2: Modular curves give rise to *modular forms*.

Modular Forms

Let $\mathfrak{h} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \mathrm{im}(z) > 0\}$ be the upper half-plane in $\mathbb{C}$.

Definition

A **modular form** of weight $2k$ is a holomorphic function $f : \mathfrak{h} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that

1. For all $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, $f(z) = (cz + d)^{-2k} f(gz)$.
2. f is holomorphic at ∞.

Modular Forms

Let $\mathfrak{h} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \mathrm{im}(z) > 0\}$ be the upper half-plane in $\mathbb{C}$.

Definition

A **modular form** of weight $2k$ is a holomorphic function $f : \mathfrak{h} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that

1. For all $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, $f(z) = (cz + d)^{-2k} f(gz)$.
2. f is holomorphic at ∞.

Informal version: modular forms are highly symmetric holomorphic functions on the upper half-plane in $\mathbb{C}$.



Modular Forms

Given a modular form $f : \mathfrak{h} \to \mathbb{C}$, we can define a differential form $\omega = f(z)\, dz^k$.

By the symmetry of f, ω is not just defined on the upper half-plane, but on the quotient $\mathfrak{h}/SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

Compactifying by adding a point at ∞, this quotient $\overline{\mathfrak{h}/SL_2(\mathbb{Z})}$ becomes isomorphic to $\mathcal{X}(1)$, the moduli stack of elliptic curves.

Upshot: modular forms act like "functions" on the moduli stack $\mathcal{X}(1)$.

This allows one to define modular forms over any field K, as differential forms on the moduli stack $\mathcal{X}(1)$ of elliptic curves over K.

Modular Forms Mod p

Joint work with D. Zureick-Brown (in progress): describe the space of mod p modular forms using the stacky structure of $\mathcal{X}(1)$ and other modular curves over $\mathbb{F}_p$.

Modular Forms Mod p

Joint work with D. Zureick-Brown (in progress): describe the space of mod p modular forms using the stacky structure of $\mathcal{X}(1)$ and other modular curves over $\mathbb{F}_p$.

For $p > 3$, the story for $\mathcal{X}(1)$ is the same as over $\mathbb{C}$:



and $\bigoplus \mathcal{M}_k \cong \mathbb{F}_p[x_4, x_6]$ (originally due to Edixhoven).

However, over $\mathbb{F}_2$ and $\mathbb{F}_3$, the stacky structure of $\mathcal{X}(1)$ looks different:

Modular Forms Mod 3

Joint work with D. Zureick-Brown (in progress): describe the space of mod p modular forms using the stacky structure of $\mathcal{X}(1)$ and other modular curves over $\mathbb{F}_p$.

Theorem (K.–Zureick-Brown 2023+ϵ)

For the **wild** *stacky curve* $\mathcal{X}(1)$ *over* $\mathbb{F}_3$,

the ring of modular forms is $\bigoplus \mathcal{M}_k \cong \mathbb{F}_3[x_2, x_{12}]$.

Modular Forms Mod 2

Joint work with D. Zureick-Brown (in progress): describe the space of mod p modular forms using the stacky structure of $\mathcal{X}(1)$ and other modular curves over $\mathbb{F}_p$.

Theorem (K.–Zureick-Brown 2023+ϵ)

For the **wild** *stacky curve* $\mathcal{X}(1)$ *over* $\mathbb{F}_2$,

the ring of modular forms is $\bigoplus \mathcal{M}_k \cong \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, x_{12}]$.

Thank you!

Questions?