

Lecture 4.1

Last time:

- The Isomorphism Theorems hold for rings! :

$$(1) A/\ker(\psi) \cong \text{im}(\psi).$$

$$(2) (I+J)/J \cong I/(I+J).$$

$$(3) (A/I)/(J/I) \cong A/J.$$

(4) There is a 1-to-1 correspondence

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{ideals} \\ I \subseteq J \subseteq A \end{array} \right\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{ideals of} \\ A/I \end{array} \right\}.$$

- A maximal ideal in a commutative ring A is an ideal $M \subsetneq A$ such that for any ideal $M \subseteq I \subseteq A$, either $I = M$ or $I = A$.

Ex ① In $A = \mathbb{C}[x]$, the maximal ideals are exactly the principal ideals generated by linear polynomials:

$$M_\alpha = (x - \alpha) = \{ p(x) \mid p(\alpha) = 0 \} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Ker}(ev_\alpha) \\ \text{"} \end{array}$$

for $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. (By dividing out by

the leading term, we can always

replace $ax - b$ by $x - \alpha$ for $\alpha = \frac{b}{a}$.)

We will prove that the ideals M_α

are maximal in a moment, but to

see that these are the only maximal

ideals in $\mathbb{C}[x]$, suppose $I \subseteq \mathbb{C}[x]$
is any ideal. Choose $p(x) \in I$.

By the Fundamental Theorem of

Algebra, $p(\alpha) = 0$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$,

so $x - \alpha \mid p(x)$, i.e. $p(x) \in (x - \alpha)$.

If $I = (p(x))$, this shows $I \subseteq (x - \alpha)$.

Moreover, we will show later that

every ideal in $\mathbb{C}[x]$ is principal

(i.e. $\mathbb{C}[x]$ is a PID) so the

only maximal ideals are $(x - \alpha)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$.

Let $M \subset A$ be a maximal ideal. By the Correspondence Theorem,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{ideals} \\ M \subseteq I \subseteq A \end{array} \right\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{ideals of} \\ A/M \end{array} \right\}$$

but there are only two things on the left:
 M and A .

This proves:

Prop An ideal $M \subseteq A$ is maximal if and only if A/M is a field.

Corollary For any field F and every $\alpha \in F$,

the ideal $M_\alpha = (x-\alpha) \subseteq \mathbb{F}[x]$ is maximal.

Pf: Consider the evaluation map

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ev}_\alpha : \mathbb{F}[x] &\longrightarrow \mathbb{F} \\ p(x) &\longmapsto p(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

We already showed that ev_α is a surjective ring homomorphism with

$$\ker(\text{ev}_\alpha) = M_\alpha.$$

So by the First Isomorphism Theorem,

$$\mathbb{F}[x]/M_\alpha \cong \mathbb{F}$$

which implies M_α is maximal by the

Prop. \square

Ex 1, cont'd. This (almost) finishes our proof

that $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{maximal ideals} \\ \mathfrak{M} \in \mathbb{C}[x] \end{array} \right\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{complex numbers} \\ \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \end{array} \right\}.$

This correspondence, called **Hilbert's Nullstellensatz**, is the jumping off point for an area of math called algebraic geometry:

Algebra

max'l. ideals in $\mathbb{C}[x]$

ideals in $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$

max'l. ideals in A

ideals in A

Geometry

points in \mathbb{C}

points and curves in \mathbb{C}

points in ??

???

② This gives an alternate proof that

$(n) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ is maximal if and only

if n is prime:

(n) is maximal $\iff \mathbb{Z}/(n)$ is a field

$\iff n$ is prime.

③ In $\mathbb{R}[x]$, the ideals $M_a = (x-a)$

for $a \in \mathbb{R}$ are maximal, but not

all maximal ideals are of this form.

Claim: $(x^2+1) \subseteq \mathbb{R}[x]$ is maximal.

Recall the "evaluation map"

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi: \mathbb{R}[x] &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ p(x) &\longmapsto p(i).\end{aligned}$$

Exercise 1: φ is a ring homomorphism.

φ is surjective: $a + bi = \varphi(a + bx) \checkmark$

\checkmark
real
numbers

$\ker(\varphi) = (x^2 + 1)$:

$x^2 + 1 \in \ker(\varphi)$:

$$\varphi(x^2 + 1) = i^2 + 1 = -1 + 1 = 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow (x^2 + 1) \subseteq \ker(\varphi). \checkmark$$

For the other containment, we need to show that for any $p(x) \in \text{Ker}(\varphi)$, $p(x)$ is divisible by $x^2 + 1$. This motivates our next big theorem.

Theorem (Polynomial Division Algorithm)

Let A be a commutative ring. Then for any $p(x), f(x) \in A[x]$, with $f(0) \in A^\times$,

$$p(x) = f(x)q(x) + r(x)$$

for unique $q(x), r(x) \in A[x]$ where

$$\deg r(x) < \deg f(x).$$

Pf: Fix $f(x)$ (think: try to divide stuff by f , with remainders)

and let $n = \deg f(x) \geq 0$,
 $m = \deg p(x) \geq 0$.

If $m < n$ then

$$p(x) = f(x) \cdot 0 + p(x)$$

works. Otherwise, $m \geq n$ and we can induct on m .

First, if $m=n=0$ then $f(x) = a_0 \in A^\times$

and $p(x) = b_0 \in A$, and

$$p(x) = a_0 q(x) + 0$$

$$\text{where } q(x) = a_0^{-1} b_0$$

To induct, suppose $m = \deg p(x) \geq 1$ and suppose the division algorithm holds for all polynomials of degree $< m$.

$$\text{For } f(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_n x^n$$

$$\text{and } p(x) = b_0 + b_1 x + \dots + b_m x^m,$$

$$\text{set } q_0(x) = a_n^{-1} b_m x^{m-n} \text{ and}$$

$$p_1(x) = p(x) - q_0(x)f(x)$$

$$= (b_0 + \dots + b_m x^m) - (\dots + b_m x^m)$$

$$= b_0 + \dots + (b_{m-1} - a_n^{-1} b_{m-1} a_{n-1}) x^{m-1}$$

so that $\deg p_1(x) < m$.

By induction, $p_1(x) = f(x)q_1(x) + r_1(x)$

for some $q_1(x), r_1(x) \in A[x]$ with

$\deg r_1(x) < \deg f(x)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } p(x) &= f(x)q_0(x) + p_1(x) \\ &= f(x)q_0(x) + (f(x)q_1(x) + r_1(x)) \\ &= f(x)q(x) + r(x) \end{aligned}$$

where $q(x) = q_0(x) + q_1(x)$, $r(x) = r_1(x)$

and $\deg r(x) = \deg r_1(x) < \deg f(x)$.

Uniqueness is similar to the original proof for \mathbb{Z} , which you can prove for exercise. \square

Remarks: (i) If we don't specify that the leading coefficient of $f(x)$ is a unit, the algorithm fails.

For example, in $A = \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$, there is no way to divide $p(x) = x^2$ by $f(x) = 2x$ with remainder:

$x^2 = 2q(x) + r(x)$ for some

$x \neq 2xg(x) + r(x)$ for any

$$g(x), r(x) \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}[x].$$

(ii) When F is a field, every nonzero polynomial has leading coefficient which is a unit, so the division algorithm is slightly easier to state.

Ex 3, cont'd. let's prove that for

$$\psi: \mathbb{R}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

$$x \mapsto i$$

we have $\ker(\psi) \subseteq (x^2 + 1)$.

For any $p(x) \in \ker(\psi)$, write

$$p(x) = (x^2+1)q(x) + r(x)$$

for $q(x), r(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ with $\deg r < 2$.

Then $r(x) = r_0 + r_1 x$, $r_0, r_1 \in \mathbb{R}$.

Since $p(x) \in \ker(\psi)$ and $x^2+1 \in \ker(\psi)$,

notice that

$$r(x) = p(x) - (x^2+1)q(x) \in \ker(\psi).$$

$$\text{So } 0 = r(i) = r_0 + r_1 i$$

$$\Rightarrow r_0 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad r_1 = 0.$$

So $r(x) = r_0 + r_1 x = 0$, so

$$p(x) = (x^2+1)q(x)$$

$$p(x) = (x^2 + 1)q(x).$$

Hence $\ker(\varphi) = (x^2 + 1)$.

To summarize, $\varphi: \mathbb{R}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is
a surjective ring homomorphism with
 $\ker(\varphi) = (x^2 + 1)$, so

$$\mathbb{R}[x]/(x^2 + 1) \cong \mathbb{C}.$$

But \mathbb{C} is a field, so $(x^2 + 1)$ is
automatically maximal.

Next time: more on maximal ideals.

